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Disclaimer

Organizations may not re-use material presented at this AMCP webinar for commercial purposes without the written consent of the presenter, the person or organization holding copyright to the material (if applicable), and AMCP. Commercial purposes include but are not limited to symposia, educational programs, and other forms of presentation, whether developed or offered by for-profit or not-for-profit entities, and that involve funding from for-profit firms or a registration fee that is other than nominal. In addition, organizations may not widely redistribute or re-use this webinar material without the written consent of the presenter, the person or organization holding copyright to the material (if applicable), and AMCP. This includes large quantity redistribution of the material or storage of the material on electronic systems for other than personal use.
Purpose

• Understand the framework of ePA
• Learn about the value of the new ePA transaction standards when compared to the current system
  • Significant opportunity to enhance ePrescribing and Prior Authorization (PA) workflows
• Hear about insights gained from a national pilot
• Become aware of the current landscape and the different approaches or phases of implementation
• Learn how you can help accelerate ePA adoption
  • Future: AMCP - currently developing Steering Committee to create a Managed Care ePA Implementation Guide

Agenda

• **Forms, Fax, Phones and Portals:** The impact of prior authorization (PA) today

• **The New Standard for Electronic Prior Authorization:**
  Improved workflow efficiency solution

• **Why Now:** The Drivers of Standardized Electronic Prior Authorization (ePA)
About AMCP

The Academy of Managed Care Pharmacy (AMCP) is a national professional association of pharmacists, health care practitioners and others who develop and provide clinical, educational and business management services on behalf of more than 200 million Americans covered by a managed pharmacy benefit. AMCP members are committed to a simple goal: providing the best available pharmaceutical care for all patients. Some of the tasks AMCP’s more than 6,000 members perform include:

- Monitoring the safety and clinical effectiveness of new medications on the market
- Alerting patients to potentially dangerous drug interactions when a patient is taking two or more medications prescribed by different providers
- Designing and carrying out medication therapy management programs to ensure patients are taking medications that give them the best benefit to keep them healthy
- Creating incentives to control patients’ out-of-pocket costs, including through lower copayments on generic drugs and certain preferred brands.

Mission: To empower its members to serve society by using sound medication management principles and strategies to improve health care for all.

About NCPDP

Founded in 1977, the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) is a not-for-profit, ANSI-accredited, Standards Development Organization with over 1,600 members representing virtually every sector of the pharmacy services industry.

NCPDP members have created standards such as the Telecommunication Standard and Batch Standard, the SCRIPT Standard for e-Prescribing, the Manufacturers Rebate Standard and more to improve communication within the pharmacy industry.

Our data products include dataQ®, a robust database of information on more than 76,000 pharmacies, and HCidea®, a database of continually updated information on more than 2.3 million prescribers. NCPDP’s RxReconn® is a legislative tracking product for real-time monitoring of pharmacy-related state and national legislative and regulatory activity. www.ncpdp.org
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Forms, Fax, Phones and Portals
The impact of prior authorization (PA) today

Anita Murcko, MD, FACP

“It’s a nuisance, time-consuming, and often not in the patient’s best interest”

—George G. Ellis, Jr., MD Internal medicine physician & Medical Economics editorial advisor

How did we get here?
Defining Prior Authorization

Cost-savings feature that helps to ensure the safe and appropriate use of selected prescription drugs and medical procedures.

- Criteria based on clinical guidelines and medical literature
- PA drug list and criteria vary by payer

PA Forms and Formats Differ by Plan and Drug Class

Manual completion and submission is burdensome
Manual Prior Authorization Impacts Everyone

- At pharmacy, patient and pharmacist learn prior authorization (PA) needed
- Pharmacist phones or faxes prescriber to request PA initiation
- Provider and pharmacy benefit manager (PBM) exchange multiple calls, faxes, portal forms
- After waiting days—or even weeks—and more calls PA obtained and patient notified

Prior Authorization: Measurable Burden

“On average, physicians spent dealing with [drug PAs] than any interaction”

HealthAffairs
May 2009
“What Does It Cost Physician Practices to Interact With Health Insurance Plans?”
Prior Authorization Impact on Prescribers

"Interactions with health plans cost practices $23 to $31 billion yearly"

3 - 8 hours
Per physician
Each week

$ Thousands
Per physician
Each year


Prior Authorization Impact on PBMs

90% OF PA REQUESTS REQUIRE PHONE OR FAX

OPERATIONAL INEFFICIENCY
$20 - $25 PER SUBMISSION TO PBM

91% OF PHYSICIANS ARE "FRUSTRATED WITH PAs"

PHYSICIAN ABRASION
DAMAGED REPUTATION
PATIENT COMPLAINTS

3. National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP), ePA Task Group, December 2011
Prior Authorization Impact on Pharmacy

- **$11,440** cost per pharmacist per year\(^1\)
- **4 hours median time** spent on PAs per week

---

PA Impacts Patient Wait Times for Medicine

“My doctor increased the dose from 2mg to 4mg. I went to pick up my new script only to find out my insurance requires a Prior Auth. So I can’t pick up my script until this is settled and I am out of meds.”

- Patient, Online Forum

Streamlining Prior Authorization is a Top Priority for Providers

#1 Most desired e-prescribing capability is ePA¹

91% Frustrated with prior authorization²

28% Would switch EHR vendor for ePA³

1 NCPDP ePA Task Group, 2011
2 Surescripts Survey (n = 2,391) http://www.ncpdp.org/pdf/NCPDPePATaskGroup_WhereHaveWeBeen_FINAL121511.pdf
3 Surescripts Survey (n=123)

Gaps in Current PA Activities

- Prescriber often not aware that prescribed drug requires PA
- Criteria not residing within EHR or visible to physician
- Does not automate the entire process – various workarounds that may or may not meld together
- Paper forms and portals require manual reentry of data that may already reside electronically within an EMR
- Multiple routes to obtain PA depending on health plan, drug, pharmacy, and patient combination
The New Standard for Electronic Prior Authorization
Improved workflow efficiency solution

Melissa Brown

NCPDP SCRIPT Standards for ePrescribing

• Formulary, benefit, eligibility capabilities
  o exchange between prescribers and payers for pharmacy benefits

• Prescription routing and medication history capabilities
  o exchange between prescribers, pharmacies, intermediaries, payers

• Electronic prior authorization capabilities
  o exchange between prescribers and payers for pharmacy benefits
NCPDP SCRIPT Standard for Electronic Prior Authorization (ePA) Transactions

• Officially approved as part of the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard in July 2013

Physician EHR + PBM

Reducing administrative burden + Increasing workflow efficiency

NCPDP SCRIPT Standard ePA transactions were Successfully Pilot Tested

Pilot supported by industry leading companies

• Allscripts
• CVS Caremark
• Navinet/CoverMyMeds
• Surescripts
NCPDP SCRIPT Standard transactions
Enables Options for ePrior Authorization Workflow

- **Prospective** workflow initiated at prescriber level **before** sending e-prescription to pharmacy
  - Physician identifies drugs requiring a PA before prescription is sent

- **Retrospective** workflow initiated at pharmacy **after** prescriptions is sent and rejected by PBM

---

**Prospective ePA Implementation Approves PA Before RX is Sent**

**Benefit & formulary data referenced**

**ELIGIBILITY REQUEST / RESPONSE**

**PA INITIATION REQUEST / RESPONSE**

PHYSICIAN
- notified if selected drug needs prior authorization

PBM
- Pre-approved e-prescription

PHARMACY
- e-prescription sent to pharmacy

EHR
- Physician identifies drugs requiring a PA before prescription is sent

PRESCRIPTION
- e-prescription is sent to pharmacy
Prospective ePA Integration Within the eRx Workflow - New Best Practice

- Leverages eligibility & formulary data to notify providers of medication PA requirements before e-prescribing
- Instead of forms, specific PA questions are sent to the EHR, based on patient, plan, and medication
- Pre-population of required patient information adds efficiency and accuracy to administrative tasks
- Real-time communications with PBM to complete prior authorization review before sending e-prescription
- Preapproved e-prescriptions routed to pharmacy and won’t be subject to PA block

NCPDP ePA Message Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Message Type</th>
<th>Direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA Initiation Request</td>
<td>Prescriber → PBM/Payer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Initiation Response</td>
<td>PBM/Payer → Prescriber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Request</td>
<td>Prescriber → PBM/Payer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Response</td>
<td>PBM/Payer → Prescriber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Appeal Request</td>
<td>Prescriber → PBM/Payer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Appeal Response</td>
<td>PBM/Payer → Prescriber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Cancel Request</td>
<td>Prescriber → PBM/Payer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Cancel Response</td>
<td>PBM/Payer → Prescriber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All requests and responses are real-time bi-directional messages based on the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard
### ePA Implementations Vary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capabilities</th>
<th>Prescriber Portals</th>
<th>PBM/Payer Portals</th>
<th>Fully Integrated into EHR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Integrated into physician EHR workflow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective workflow capabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective workflow capability</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated into the e-prescribing workflow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatically pull patient medical history from EHR into PA question sets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad connections to several PBM/Payers</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-directional network of PBM/Payers and Providers/EHRs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### E-Prescribing Using Electronic Prior Authorization:
**Prescriber Perspective**

**Anita Murcko, MD, FACP**
1. Prescriber initiates new prescription within EMR workflow and is notified that a PA is required

Prospective PA request complements e-prescribing workflow

2. Prescriber finalizes prescription and initiates ePA task
3. PBM/Payer returns PA question sets within the EMR

4. PA questions presented in logical, sequential flow
5. Prescriber answers questions following the criteria path

6. Additional questions reviewed and completed with ease
7. Additional questions...reviewed and completed

8. Additional questions...reviewed and completed
9. Additional questions...reviewed and completed

10. Additional questions...reviewed and completed
11. Additional questions...reviewed and completed

12. Additional questions...reviewed and completed
13. Can review answers and attach supporting documents

14. After final review, prescriber submits
15. ePA approved by PBM/Payer and prescription ready to send to the pharmacy

Why Now: The Drivers of Standardized Electronic Prior Authorization (ePA)

Tony Schueth, MS
### Electronic Prior Authorization (ePA) History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>HIPAA X12 278 named prior authorization transaction standard for non-retail pharmacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>CMS/AHRQ pushes forward NCPDP SCRIPT 2013 published Standard includes ePA transactions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>NCPDP Revises Transactions Pilot results incorporated into revised standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>NCPDP SCRIPT 2013 ePA Pilot determined the X12 278 PA standard was inadequate for medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>NCPDP SCRIPT 2013 implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Implementation With intermediaries leading the way, stakeholders start implementation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Stakeholders Involved in the New Electronic Prior Authorization Standard

**Standards**
- NCPDP
  - 2013 SCRIPT Standard adds ePA transactions, after 18 years work.
  - Task group continues to evaluate SCRIPT Standard improvements.
- DSMO – Designated Standard Maintenance Organization
  - Recommended NCPDP SCRIPT Standard transactions be adopted nationally for ePA

**Regulatory**
- CMS – Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- OESS – Office of E-Health Standards & Services
- AHRQ – Agency for Healthcare Research & Quality

**Industry**
- PBMs/Payers & EHRs
  - Many are adopting the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard and will begin transacting by summer 2014.
- ePA Pilot
  - Allscripts, Caremark, CoverMyMeds, Navinet and Surescripts collaborated on a successful 2 year ePA pilot.

**HIT Networks**
- State Legislatures

Current Landscape

- Web Portals
- EHRs
- Physicians
- Intermediaries
- PBM/Payer
- PA Processors
- Pharmacy

States Requiring ePA for Medications

- Eight states have mandates for some type of ePA
- Other states require uniform PA forms
- Numerous states drafted study laws, planning ePA mandates upon completion

- States: KY, MN, CO, GA, ND, NM, TX, VT
Drug Pipeline: Specialty medications are a growing segment of the nation’s drug spend

- More than 50% of the drugs in the pipeline are considered specialty medications, many of which require PA

- Recent studies project that specialty drug spending will increase 67% by 2015 and nearly half of all prescription drug sales will be for specialty medications by 2016

Specialty Medications Continue to Grow

Drivers include:
- Growing elderly population
- Growing population of patients with chronic conditions

Source: Catamaran Drug Trend Report, 2013
Where is ePA Going?

- Better identification of drugs that require PA
  - Enhance input into F&B file
  - Is it time for a pre-adjudication transaction?
- Effort to standardize the pharmacy claims rejection process
  - Need to keep pharmacy in the loop
- Improved process for long-term care
- Consideration of pharmacy- or hub-initiated standardized process

What You Can Do To Accelerate ePA Adoption

- Spread awareness about new SCRIPT Standard for electronic prior authorization and its value
- Learn more about ePA
  - www.NCPDP.org
- Prioritize the ePA SCRIPT Standard with your EHR, health plan, PBM or pharmacy information network
- Educate your legislators about this ePA standard and improved care opportunities
In Conclusion

The time is right for standardized electronic prior authorization
- Standards have been developed and are being implemented
- States have mandated the process
- The drug pipeline is dominated by specialty, 95% of which require PA

Innovative PBMs and Health Plans have built workflow automation solutions
- Transactions standards and intermediaries facilitate interoperability with EHRs, whose role is optimization of the physician workflow

While the “train has left the station,” the industry is at the early stages of ePA evolution
- Some key stakeholders have yet to provide solutions
- Gaps need to be filled and key stakeholder groups need to be factored into standards

Questions and Answers

Raise your hand to ask verbally
Or, type your question in the ‘Questions’ area (preferred)
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