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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is the most common chronic bloodborne illness in the United States. The incidence of acute hepatitis C in the United States peaked near 50,000 cases in the late 1980s but has stabilized since 2003 to less than 5,000 cases annually. The combination of pegylated interferon (peginterferon) and ribavirin has been the standard recommended treatment for HCV. Protease inhibitors telaprevir and boceprevir were approved by the FDA in May 2011 for the treatment of hepatitis C genotype 1 in combination with peginterferon and ribavirin.

OBJECTIVE: To review the phase 3 trials for telaprevir and boceprevir and provide managed care considerations.

METHODS: A MEDLINE review was performed for articles published and available through September 15, 2011, using keywords “boceprevir” or “telaprevir” with an emphasis on phase 3 trials. The literature search was limited to articles in English, clinical trials, randomized controlled trials, and research conducted in humans. Additional information was obtained from the FDA website.

RESULTS: Three phase 3 trials are available for telaprevir, which provided data that were the basis for FDA approval. Boceprevir demonstrated efficacy and safety in 2 pivotal phase 3 trials. Both agents demonstrated statistically significantly higher rates of virologic response compared with the standard of care involving peginterferons and ribavirin. Telaprevir and boceprevir also demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of patients who had previously failed dual therapy for hepatitis C. Safety concerns for both agents include anemia, drug interactions, skin rashes, and gastrointestinal adverse events.

CONCLUSIONS: Decision makers have many factors to consider in developing a strategy around hepatitis C. Increased drug costs, patient management, adherence, comparative safety and efficacy, and appropriate utilization management controls are important issues. Payers may consider developing clinical programs to encourage adherence and appropriate use and leverage an appropriate channel to ensure cost-effective therapy.
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What this review adds

- The current American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) Practice Guidelines (2011) recommend triple therapy with a protease inhibitor, peginterferon, and ribavirin as the standard treatment for hepatitis C.
- Both telaprevir and boceprevir have been studied in treatment-naive and previous partial responders, but only telaprevir has been studied in prior null responders.
- Managed care considerations of hepatitis C pertinent to decision makers include increased total drug treatment costs and potential increase in utilization, patient management and adherence, comparative safety and efficacy, and appropriate utilization management controls.
- The 12-week regimen of telaprevir therapy has a wholesale acquisition cost (WAC) in 2011 of $49,200 (added to $17,175 WAC for 24 weeks of peginterferon plus ribavirin [PR] or $34,349 for 48 weeks of PR). The boceprevir WAC in 2011 is $26,410 for the 24-week regimen (added to $20,037 WAC for PR for 28 weeks, $25,762 for 36 weeks, or $34,349 for 48 weeks).
- Rates of anemia were 36% in the telaprevir-treated patients versus 17% for patients treated only with peginterferon and ribavirin. Anemia occurred in 45%-50% of boceprevir-treated patients versus 20%-30% of patients treated only with peginterferon and ribavirin; erythropoiesis-stimulating agents were used to manage anemia in 43% of boceprevir-treated patients versus 24% of patients treated only with peginterferon and ribavirin.

What is already known about this subject

- Historically, the standard of care for the treatment of hepatitis C has been pegylated interferon (peginterferon) and ribavirin.
- The protease inhibitors telaprevir and boceprevir were approved by the FDA in May 2011 for the treatment of hepatitis C genotype 1 in combination with peginterferon and ribavirin. Neither protease inhibitor may be used as monotherapy for hepatitis C.
common in the United States. For every 100 people infected with HCV, 75-85 people will develop chronic infection; 60-70 will develop chronic liver disease; 5-20 will develop cirrhosis; and 1-5 will die of cirrhosis or liver cancer. Acute HCV illness manifests symptomatically in 20%-30% of patients. Possible symptoms include abdominal pain, fever, fatigue, loss of appetite, nausea, and vomiting. The remaining 70%-80% of patients may be asymptomatic or experience only mild symptoms.

The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) Practice Guidelines (2011) represent the gold standard for guidance on the management of hepatitis C. These guidelines were approved and are supported by the AASLD, the Infectious Diseases Society of America, and the American College of Gastroenterology. The combination of pegylated interferon (peginterferon) and ribavirin has been the standard recommended treatment for HCV prior to the release of the protease inhibitors.

Telaprevir and boceprevir were approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in May 2011 in combination with peginterferon alfa and ribavirin for the treatment of genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C in adult patients with compensated liver disease, including cirrhosis, who are treatment-naïve or who have been previously treated with interferon-based treatment, including prior null responders, partial responders, and relapers.

### TABLE 1 FDA-Approved Indications, Dose, Administration, and Drug Cost for Telaprevir and Boceprevir for HCV Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>FDA-Approved Indications</th>
<th>Peginterferon + Ribavirin</th>
<th>Drug Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telaprevir (Incivek) 375 mg capsules</td>
<td>For the treatment of chronic hepatitis C (CHC) genotype 1 infection, in combination with peginterferon alfa and ribavirin, in adult patients with compensated liver disease, including cirrhosis, who are previously untreated or who have failed previous interferon and ribavirin therapy.</td>
<td>28 weeks for undetectable HCV RNA at weeks 8 and 24 for treatment-naive patients.</td>
<td>$26,410 for 24 weeks telaprevir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$35,213 for 32 weeks boceprevir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,037 for 48 weeks boceprevir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,349 for 48 weeks PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,349 for 48 weeks boceprevir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telaprevir (Incivek) 200 mg capsules</td>
<td>Initiate therapy with peginterferon alfa and ribavirin for 4 weeks prior to starting boceprevir (lead-in phase). At treatment week 4, add boceprevir 800 mg (four 200 mg capsules) orally 3 times daily (every 7-9 hours) with food (a meal or light snack).</td>
<td>48 weeks prior to starting boceprevir (lead-in phase).</td>
<td>$49,200 for 12 weeks telaprevir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,175 for 24 weeks PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,349 for 48 weeks PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telaprevir (Incivek) 375 mg capsules</td>
<td>Initiate therapy with peginterferon alfa and ribavirin for 4 weeks prior to starting boceprevir (lead-in phase). At treatment week 4, add boceprevir 800 mg (four 200 mg capsules) orally 3 times daily (every 7-9 hours) with food (a meal or light snack).</td>
<td>48 weeks prior to starting boceprevir (lead-in phase).</td>
<td>$49,200 for 12 weeks telaprevir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$17,175 for 24 weeks PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,349 for 48 weeks PR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost estimates based on wholesale acquisition cost (WAC) price in September 2011. PR regimen assumed peginterferon alfa-2a and ribavirin dosed at 1,200 mg daily. FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; HCV = hepatitis C virus; IU = international unit; mg = milligram; mL = milliliter; PR = peginterferon + ribavirin; RNA = ribonucleic acid; SVR = sustained virologic response.
The purpose of this article is to (a) provide an overview of the clinical data for telaprevir and boceprevir, including the pharmacokinetics, phase 3 pivotal trial efficacy, and safety; and (b) offer insight to managed care decision makers on management strategies for HCV based on factors that include clinical data, cost, need for adherence, and coverage determination.

**Search Method and Results**

A MEDLINE review was performed for articles published and available through September 15, 2011, using keywords “telaprevir” and “boceprevir” with an emphasis on published randomized controlled trials (Figure 1). The literature search was limited to articles in English, clinical trials, randomized controlled trials, and research conducted in humans; review articles and meta-analyses were excluded. Additional information was obtained from the FDA website.

**Pharmacokinetics**

**Telaprevir.** Telaprevir is bioavailable and absorbed in the small intestine. For optimal exposure, telaprevir must be taken with food (not low fat). Systemic exposure (area under the curve [AUC]) is increased by 237% in conjunction with a standard fat meal (533 kilocalories and 21 grams fat) compared with the fasted state. When co-administered with peginterferon, maximum concentration at steady state (C\text{max,ss}) and AUC are increased by 43% and 38%, respectively. Ribavirin does not affect telaprevir plasma concentrations, and maximum plasma concentrations are reached in 4 to 5 hours after a dose. In vitro studies have shown telaprevir to be a substrate and inhibitor of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and CYP3A, resulting in clinically significant drug interactions (see Table 2). Telaprevir is 59% to 76% bound to plasma proteins (alpha-1-acid glycoprotein and albumin).

In the phase 2 studies PROVE 2 and PROVE 3, a population pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using pharmacokinetic data from all subjects. After oral administration, the apparent total clearance was about 31.6 liters (L) per hour and 37.5 L per hour in PROVE 2 and PROVE 3, respectively. Telaprevir undergoes hepatic metabolism, and primary route of elimination is fecal. Elimination half-life is 4 to 4.7 hours.

**Boceprevir.** Boceprevir should be taken with food, but type of meal and timing are not crucial. Clinical trials demonstrated boceprevir AUC increased up to 65% when taken with food. Boceprevir reaches maximum plasma concentration 3 hours after a dose. An in vitro study showed boceprevir to be a P-gp substrate. Boceprevir is also a CYP3A4/5 substrate and strong inhibitor and is approximately 75% plasma protein bound (see Table 2 for clinically significant drug interactions). In vitro studies showed boceprevir to be primarily metabolized through the aldoketoreductase (AKR)-mediated pathway to ketone-reduced metabolites (inactive). Mean apparent clearance of boceprevir is 157 L per hour, and its elimination half-life is approximately 3.4 hours. The primary route of elimination is fecal.

**Efficacy and Safety**

**Telaprevir.** The efficacy and safety of telaprevir was studied in three phase 3 pivotal trials: ADVANCE (Telaprevir for Previously Untreated Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection), ILLUMINATE (Response-Guided Telaprevir Combination Treatment for Hepatitis C Virus Infection), and REALIZE (Telaprevir for Retreatment of HCV Infection). The study designs, samples, and efficacy and safety outcomes are shown in Table 3.
### TABLE 3: Efficacy and Safety Results from Phase 3 Trials of Telaprevir

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Drug Regimens</th>
<th>Design/Sample</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADVANCE</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled RCT</td>
<td><strong>Treatment Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T12PR</strong>: Triple therapy (telaprevir with peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin [PR]) for 12 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• After the first 12 weeks, patients with extended RVR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; received 12 additional weeks of PR alone (total treatment duration 48 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patients lacking extended RVR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; received 36 additional weeks of PR alone (total treatment duration 48 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T8PR</strong>: Triple therapy for 8 weeks followed by placebo plus PR for 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• After the first 12 weeks, patients with extended RVR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; received 12 additional weeks of PR alone (total treatment duration 24 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patients lacking extended RVR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; received 36 additional weeks of PR alone (total treatment duration 48 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PR</strong>: Placebo plus PR for 12 weeks followed by PR alone for an additional 36 weeks (total treatment duration 48 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following doses were used:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• telaprevir 750 mg orally every 8 hours with food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• peginterferon alfa-2a 180 mcg subcutaneously weekly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ribavirin 1,000 mg orally daily (patients weighing &lt; 75 kg) or ribavirin 1,200 mg orally daily (patients weighing ≥ 75 kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy was stopped if HCV RNA levels were &gt; 1,000 IU per mL at week 4 (T12PR and T8PR groups, only telaprevir discontinued); &lt; 2 log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; decrease from baseline in HCV RNA levels occurred at week 12 (discontinue all drugs), or had detectable HCV RNA at any time between weeks 24 and 40 (discontinue all drugs).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILLUMINATE</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Phase 3, open-label, randomized, noninferiority trial. Results of HCV RNA testing were double-blinded through week 24.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T12PR24</strong>: Triple therapy (telaprevir plus PR) for 12 weeks, followed by PR alone for 12 weeks (total treatment duration 24 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T12PR48</strong>: Triple therapy for 12 weeks, followed by PR alone for 36 weeks (total treatment duration 48 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following doses were used:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• telaprevir 750 mg orally with food every 8 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• peginterferon alfa-2a 180 mcg subcutaneously weekly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ribavirin 1,000 mg orally daily (patients weighing &lt; 75 kg) or ribavirin 1,200 mg orally daily (patients weighing ≥ 75 kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy was stopped if HCV RNA levels were &gt; 1,000 IU per mL at week 4 (only telaprevir discontinued); &lt; 2 log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; decrease in HCV RNA from baseline occurred at week 12; or had detectable HCV RNA between weeks 24 and 36.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inclusion Criteria**
- Age between 18 and 70 years
- Presence of chronic infection with HCV genotype 1, indicated by diagnosis at more than 6 months before the screening visit, with a detectable HCV RNA level at the visit, as well as no previous treatment for HCV infection
- Seronegative test for hepatitis B virus and human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2
- Absolute neutrophil count of 1,500 or more per mm<sup>3</sup>
- Platelet count of 90,000 or more per mm<sup>3</sup>
- Baseline hemoglobin levels at least 12 gm per dl for women or at least 13 gm per dl for men

**Exclusion Criteria**
- Hepatic decompensation
- Clinically significant liver disease from another cause
- Active cancer within the previous 5 years (except treated basal-cell carcinoma)

**Baseline Characteristics**
- Well balanced between treatment groups
- 58% male, 9% black, 11% Hispanic
- 21% bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis
- 59% HCV subtype 1a
- 40% HCV subtype 1b
- 21% bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis
- Average HCV RNA (log<sub>10</sub> IU per mL): 6.3 in all groups
- 77% with HCV RNA ≥ 800,000 IU per mL

**Extended RVR<sup>b</sup>**
- HCV RNA levels at least 12 gm per dl for women or at least 13 gm per dl for men

**Safety outcomes, % (n)**
- Rash: 37 (133) 35 (129) 24 (88)
- DC due to rash: 7 (24) 5 (17) 1 (2)
- Anemia: 37 (135) 39 (141) 19 (70)
- DC due to AEs: 10 (36) 10 (37) 7 (26)

**Efficacy outcomes, % (n)**
- SVR<sup>c</sup> at 24 weeks: 75 (271) 69 (250) 44 (158) < 0.001
- Undetectable HCV RNA at 24 weeks: 71 (265) 67 (243) 44 (158)
- RVR at 12 weeks: 68 (246) 66 (242) 9 (34)
- Extended RVR<sup>d</sup>: 56 (212) 57 (207) 8 (29)
- Relapse<sup>e</sup>: 6 (17) 7 (18) 27 (51)

**Safety outcomes, % (n)**
- Rash: 37 (133) 35 (129) 24 (88)
- DC due to rash: 7 (24) 5 (17) 1 (2)
- Anemia: 37 (135) 39 (141) 19 (70)
- DC due to AEs: 10 (36) 10 (37) 7 (26)

<sup>a</sup>Sustained virologic response. Undetectable (lower limit of detection 10 IU per mL) plasma HCV RNA 24 weeks after the last planned study dose of patient’s assigned treatment. Primary endpoint.

<sup>b</sup>After the first 12 weeks, patients with extended RVR<sup>b</sup> received 12 additional weeks of PR alone (total treatment duration 24 weeks).

<sup>c</sup>Rapid virologic response, defined as undetectable HCV RNA at week 4.

<sup>d</sup>Undetectable HCV RNA at weeks 4 and 12.

<sup>e</sup>Sustained virologic response. Undetectable HCV RNA levels at the end of the treatment period, but confirmed detectable HCV RNA levels some time between the end of treatment and 24 weeks after last study dose.

<sup>f</sup>Rash was eczematous and resolved by discontinuing telaprevir.

<sup>g</sup>Stevens-Johnson syndrome occurred in 1 patient about 11 weeks after completing telaprevir treatment.

<sup>h</sup>Hemoglobin level < 10 g per dL. ESAs were not used to manage anemia; the ribavirin dose was reduced in accordance with product labeling (the efficacy of the regimen is not compromised if ribavirin is reduced; ribavirin concentrations achieved are similar to that of full dosing in a nonanemic patient). DC = discontinuation.
**TABLE 3**  
**Efficacy and Safety Results from Phase 3 Trials of Telaprevir (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Drug Regimens</th>
<th>Design/Sample</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Treatment Group</th>
<th>Lead-in T12PR48</th>
<th>PR48</th>
<th>P Value Versus PR48</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REALIZE&lt;sup&gt;77&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Phase 3 double blind, placebo-controlled RCT</td>
<td>Inclusion Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td>T12PR48</td>
<td>Lead-in T12PR48</td>
<td>PR48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12PR48: Telaprevir plus PR for 12 weeks, followed by placebo plus PR for 4 weeks, and then PR alone for 32 weeks (total treatment duration 48 weeks)</td>
<td>• 18 to 70 years of age</td>
<td>SVR* at 24 weeks, % (n)</td>
<td>n=260</td>
<td>n=264</td>
<td>n=132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead-in T12PR48: Placebo plus PR for 4 weeks, followed by triple therapy for 12 weeks and then PR alone for 32 weeks (total treatment duration=48 weeks)</td>
<td>• Chronic HCV genotype 1 infection</td>
<td>Previous relapse&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>83 (121/145)</td>
<td>88 (124/141)</td>
<td>24 (16/68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR48 (control): Placebo plus PR for 16 weeks, followed by PR for 32 weeks (total treatment duration=48 weeks)</td>
<td>• Did not have a SVR to 1 previous course of PR despite receiving at least 80% of the intended dose</td>
<td>No response or partial response to previous therapy</td>
<td>41 (50/123)</td>
<td>41 (51/123)</td>
<td>9 (6/64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following doses were used:</td>
<td>• Detectable HCV RNA</td>
<td>Previous partial response&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59 (29/49)</td>
<td>54 (26/48)</td>
<td>15 (4/27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Telaprevir 1,000 mg orally every 8 hours</td>
<td>• Liver biopsy within 18 months before screening</td>
<td>No previous response&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29 (21/72)</td>
<td>33 (25/78)</td>
<td>5 (2/37)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Peginterferon alfa-2a 180 mcg subcutaneously weekly</td>
<td>• Absolute neutrophil count ≥ 1,200 per mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>All patients</td>
<td>64 (17)</td>
<td>66 (17)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ribavirin 1,000 mg orally daily or 1,200 mg orally daily (weight based)</td>
<td>• Platelet count ≥ 90,000 per mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Relapse&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;, % (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients were stratified by baseline viral load (HCV RNA &lt; 800,000 or ≥ 800,000 IU per mL) and type of previous response to peginterferon-ribavirin (no response, partial response, or relapse).</td>
<td>• Hemoglobin levels at least 12 gm per dl. for women or at least 13 gm per dl. for men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy was stopped if HCV RNA levels were &gt; 100 IU per mL at weeks 4, 6, and 8 after start of telaprevir treatment (T12PR48 and lead-in T12PR48 groups; only telaprevir discontinued); &lt; 2 log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; decrease from baseline in HCV RNA levels occurred at week 12 (T12PR48 group and control group) or week 16 (lead-in T12PR48 group); or had detectable HCV RNA at week 24 or 36.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Boceprevir.** The efficacy and safety of boceprevir was studied in two phase 3 pivotal trials: SPRINT-2 (Boceprevir for Untreated Chronic HCV Genotype 1 Infection) and RESPOND-2 (Boceprevir for Previously Treated Chronic HCV Genotype 1 Infection). The study designs, samples, and efficacy and safety outcomes are shown in Table 4.

**Managed Care Considerations**

The arrival of the protease inhibitors has had a significant impact on the management of genotype 1 hepatitis C. Both agents have demonstrated significantly improved sustained virologic response (SVR) rates compared with conventional dual therapy with peginterferon and ribavirin. However, both protease inhibitors introduce issues that managed care decision makers will need to address in order to optimize cost-effective use. Decision makers need to consider increased total drug treatment costs, patient management and adherence, comparative safety and efficacy, and appropriate utilization management controls when deciding on a strategy for hepatitis C treatment.

Head-to-head comparative clinical trials are lacking between telaprevir and boceprevir. Both agents have studies in treatment-naïve and previous partial responders, but only telaprevir has been studied in prior null responders. AASLD guidelines recommend the use of telaprevir for prior null responders. Although both agents were studied with peginterferon, telaprevir was used with peginterferon alfa-2a while boceprevir trials used peginterferon alfa-2b. There may be speculation that the overall efficacy of the protease inhibitors could be affected by choice of peginterferon because there are efficacy differences between peginterferon alfa-2a and alfa-2b in the hepatitis C population. Some payers may require the use of one specific peginterferon in a utilization management program, regardless of the protease inhibitor used. Current literature and FDA labeling for the protease inhibitors does not support the use of one distinct peginterferon product over the other. The rationale for peginterferon preferred product selection by a payer could be multifaceted, including product cost, manufacturer discounts, channel steerage, and clinical differences.

In cross-trial comparisons, telaprevir appears to have a...
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**TABLE 4 Efficacy and Safety Results from Phase 3 Trials of Boceprevir**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Drug Regimens</th>
<th>Design/Sample</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 3 placebo-controlled RCT</strong></td>
<td><strong>Inclusion Criteria</strong></td>
<td><strong>SVR⁺ at 24 weeks, % (n)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td>18 years of age or older</td>
<td>All patients 38 (137) 63 (233) 66 (242) P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response-guided</strong></td>
<td>Chronic infection with HCV genotype 1 with no previous history of HCV treatment</td>
<td>Nonblack cohort 40 (125/311) 67 (211/312) 68 (213/31) P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed duration</strong></td>
<td>Plasma HCV RNA level ≥ 10,000 IU per mL</td>
<td>Black cohort 23 (12/52) 42 (22/52) 53 (29/53) 0.040; 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exclusion Criteria</strong></td>
<td>Weight 40 to 125 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline Characteristics</strong></td>
<td>Liver disease not related to hepatitis C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver disease not related to hepatitis C</strong></td>
<td>Decompensated cirrhosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renal insufficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIV or hepatitis B infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy or current breast-feeding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fixed duration: PR for 4 weeks (lead-in period), followed by boceprevir plus PR for 44 weeks (total treatment duration = 48 weeks)**

**Response-guided: PR for 4 weeks (lead-in period), followed by boceprevir plus PR for 24 weeks**

- Patients would end therapy if HCV RNA levels were undetectable (lower limit of detection 9.3 IU per mL) from week 8 through 24 (total treatment duration 28 weeks)
- Otherwise, patients received placebo plus PR for an additional 20 weeks (total treatment duration 48 weeks)

**Patients were stratified by previous response to interferon (minimum 12-week duration of therapy)**

- Plasma HCV level ≥ 10,000 IU per mL at week 8 and 12 (total treatment duration 36 weeks)
- Patients with a detectable level at week 8 but undetectable at week 12 received PR for an additional 12 weeks (total treatment duration 48 weeks)

**Patients discontinued treatment if they had a detectable HCV RNA level at week 24.**
significantly elevated risk of rash compared with boceprevir (22% vs. 2% in previously untreated patients).\textsuperscript{10,11} Stevens-Johnson syndrome occurred in 1 patient about 11 weeks after completing telaprevir treatment.\textsuperscript{19} Anemia appeared to occur at similar rates with telaprevir and boceprevir in cross-trial comparisons (19% vs. 20% in previously untreated patients).\textsuperscript{10,11} Although anemia was managed differently in the clinical trials (telaprevir patients received ribavirin dose/frequency modifications, and boceprevir patients received erythropoiesis-stimulating agents [ESAs]), practitioners will likely consider the use of ESAs with either protease inhibitor depending on their own clinical experience with these agents.\textsuperscript{15,17-19} Managed care payers may include provisions in utilization management programs that manage anemia in patients receiving either of the protease inhibitors with ribavirin dose reduction (efficacy of the regimen is not compromised if the ribavirin dose is reduced; ribavirin concentrations achieved will be similar to that of full dosing in a nonanemic patient) and allow the use of ESAs at particular hemoglobin levels if ribavirin dose reduction is insufficient to manage anemia. Comparative trials and studies in different patient subgroups, including those with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) coinfection, are needed to fully understand the differences between the protease inhibitors.

### Drug Cost

Telaprevir and boceprevir will bring substantial new pharmacy costs to the management of hepatitis C. The wholesale acquisition cost (WAC) of telaprevir is $97.62 per 375 milligram (mg) tablet and $13.10 per 200 mg tablet of boceprevir.\textsuperscript{20} Full treatment costs will vary considerably depending on treatment duration, drug choice, and patient mix. Based on WAC pricing, the cost of 12 weeks of telaprevir would be $49,200.48, and boceprevir WAC would range from $26,409.60 for 24 weeks to $48,417.60 for 44 weeks of therapy, in addition to the costs for peginterferon plus ribavirin (Table 1). Telaprevir’s higher cost may be balanced by the significantly easier dosing regimen of telaprevir (12 weeks in all cases) versus the more confusing, response-guided boceprevir regimen.

Additional costs will be incurred in the management of adverse effects from the oral agents. Anemia managed by ESAs would add significant cost to the regimen versus management via ribavirin dose reduction. Rates of anemia were 36% in the telaprevir-treated patients versus 17% for patients treated only with peginterferon and ribavirin.\textsuperscript{11} Anemia occurred in 45%-50% of boceprevir-treated patients versus 20%-30% of patients treated only with peginterferon and ribavirin.\textsuperscript{10} In the SPRINT-2 trial, anemia with boceprevir was managed via ESA 40,000 units administered weekly, with a mean duration of 94 weeks of ESA therapy in the response-guided group and 156 weeks in the fixed-duration group versus 121 weeks in the peginterferon-ribavirin group.\textsuperscript{18} Forty-three percent of boceprevir-treated patients received ESAs compared with 24% of patients receiving only peginterferon plus ribavirin in clinical trials.\textsuperscript{10} The WAC of a vial of 40,000 units of ESA is $679.00.\textsuperscript{20} Depending on the duration of therapy, ESAs could add significantly to the total costs of the regimens of the protease inhibitors. Laboratory monitoring and clinic visits may also be increased as patients are monitored for response and adherence.

Prior to the approval of the protease inhibitors, McAdam-Marx et al. (2011) found that average total all-cause costs were $19,665 per patient per year (PPPY) in 2009 dollars for HCV-infected patients.\textsuperscript{21} The cost of HCV management will more than double or triple for patients with genotype 1 infection treated with triple therapy. Additionally, WAC pricing does not account for mark-ups in pharmacy provider channels or drug manufacturer rebates. Due to the increased costs, payers should strongly consider implementation of utilization and care management programs that help ensure that the right patients receive the appropriate therapy and are adherent to therapy.

### Utilization Management

A clinically focused, comprehensive utilization management program is imperative in the management of hepatitis C. The utilization management criteria should include the following factors: appropriate patient selection, concomitant therapy, safety considerations, dosing, and continuation of therapy. Appropriate patient selection may exclude groups not studied in phase 3 trials with the oral protease inhibitors, including viral genotypes other than 1 and individuals with HIV coinfection. In these groups, treatment with the standard dual therapy may be applicable. Concomitant therapy with peginterferon and ribavirin is critical to the success of protease inhibitor therapy. Utilization management programs may be used to mandate triple therapy in patients with genotype 1 infection unless they have contraindications to the protease inhibitors. A utilization management program should also ensure appropriate dosing of protease inhibitors and peginterferon as well as approval of therapy durations limited to what is necessary to complete treatment. Both telaprevir and boceprevir have numerous contraindications and serious drug interactions. Coverage criteria should consider screening for these situations prior to approving therapy. Finally, both protease inhibitors have guidelines regarding treatment futility (Figure 2). These criteria predict treatment failures based on viral loads at specific time points. Management programs may include these stopping points verbatim to ensure patients are being monitored and responding to therapy. However, if managed care decision makers decide to follow the futility rules, 2 points must be considered. First, coverage approvals should extend beyond required laboratory checks to allow for reporting of viral loads without the patient running out of medication. Second, meeting the futility rules should stop coverage of both the protease inhibitor and the peginterferon.
Week 1
Triple therapy: telaprevir + PR
Discontinue telaprevir

Week 4
HCV RNA level ≥1,000 IU per mL
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

Week 24
HCV RNA level ≥10 IU per mL
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Futility Rules**

**Telaprevir**

**Week 4**
HCV RNA level ≥1,000 IU per mL
STOP
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Week 12**
HCV RNA level ≥1,000 IU per mL
STOP
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Week 24**
HCV RNA level ≥10 IU per mL
STOP
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Continuation Rules**

**Treatment-naïve** and prior-relapse patients:

Not detectable at week 4 and week 12—continue PR through week 24

Detectable at week 4 and/or week 12—continue PR through week 48 unless detectable at week 24

**Prior partial- and null-responder patients:**

Not detectable at week 4 and week 12—continue PR through week 48 unless detectable at week 24

**Boceprevir**

**Week 1**
Begin boceprevir

**Week 4**
HCV RNA level (Undetectable: ≥9.3 IU per mL)
STOP
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Week 12**
HCV RNA level ≥100 IU per mL
STOP
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Week 28**
HCV RNA level ≥9.3 IU per mL
STOP
DISCONTINUE ALL THERAPY

**Continuation Rules**

**Treatment-naïve patients:**

Not detectable at week 8 and week 24—continue triple therapy with boceprevir + PR through week 28

Detectable at week 8 and not detectable at week 12—complete boceprevir at week 36 and continue PR through week 48

**Prior-relapse and partial-responder patients:**

Not detectable at week 4 and week 24—continue triple therapy with boceprevir + PR through week 36

Detectable at week 8 and not detectable at week 12—complete boceprevir at week 36 and continue PR through week 48

**Patients with cirrhosis:**

4 weeks of PR followed by 44 weeks of triple therapy with boceprevir + PR

---

*Source: Telaprevir (Incivek) prescribing information. 11
*Source: Boceprevir (Victrelis) prescribing information. 10

HCV = hepatitis C virus; IU = international units; mL = milliliter; PR = peginterferon + ribavirin; RNA = ribonucleic acid.
Patient adherence is crucial to the cost-effective use of the protease inhibitors in hepatitis C. Nonadherent patients are at significant risk of treatment failure and resistance development. Managed care decision makers may implement a care management program in conjunction with utilization management criteria to ensure patients are monitored for appropriate use and adherence to therapy. Many specialty pharmacies have experience in care management of hepatitis C. Ideally, a care management program would include regular (weekly or monthly) calls from a pharmacist to the patient to assess current adherence to therapy. Prescription claims could also be monitored to ensure consistent filling, and a call could be placed to members suspected of having a gap in care. Payers may consider limiting distribution of hepatitis C treatments to a specialty pharmacy that offers comprehensive care management to patients. Finally, managed care decision makers should educate the specialty pharmacy and practitioners on the specifics of the utilization management criteria, which will reduce potentially duplicative efforts by the payer and the specialty pharmacy in care requirements, such as laboratory tests, or potentially inconsistent periods for approved duration of therapy. Provider education could be delivered via training modules and/or having a subject matter expert available to answer questions on the criteria.

The release of telaprevir and boceprevir marks a unique event in which 2 disease-changing therapies received FDA approval within days of each other. Such events provide payers with the opportunity to gather information and data on how new therapies are adopted, alter existing utilization of established therapies, and impact medical and pharmacy costs. Prime Therapeutics reported that the utilization of drugs for hepatitis C decreased by 22.1% in 2010 compared with 2009, contributing to a 15.2% decrease in drug cost for these drugs ($0.03 per member per month), 9% of which was in medical benefit costs and 91% was in pharmacy benefit costs. Although pharmacy costs will increase with the use of the protease inhibitors, the total cost of caring for patients with hepatitis C infection should decrease due to the increased cure rates with the protease inhibitors. The release of the protease inhibitors will cause the first surge in use of hepatitis C agents as treatment-naïve and previously treated patients attempt therapy. Payers should gather data on the changes in utilization and how the different products perform (e.g., use of prescription claims to determine utilization trend, cost per claim after applicable discounts, and medical claims information to monitor for adverse events such as anemia). This information could prove useful in determining the value that the market places on different aspects of competing drugs including dosing, safety profile, cost, and effectiveness of utilization management tools. Additionally, comprehensive data collection could further define the true cost of the 2 agents when taking into consideration discounts, channel, and adverse events.

Conclusions

With FDA approval of the new protease inhibitors, decision makers have many factors to consider in developing a strategy around hepatitis C. Increased drug costs, patient management, adherence, comparative safety and efficacy, and appropriate utilization management controls are important issues. Despite the great advancement in hepatitis C treatment, the protease inhibitors have a significant adverse effect profile and pill burden. An appropriate utilization management strategy should be implemented to ensure patients receive optimal benefit from therapy.
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